arXiv:1405.6192v1 [math.CV] 23 May 2014

HOLOMORPHIC CAMPANATO SPACES ON THE UNIT BALL

JIANFEI WANG AND JIE XIAO

Abstract. As outlined below, this paper is devoted to a Carleson-type-measure-based study of the holomorphic Campanato 2-space on the open unit ball $\mathbb{B}_n$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$, comprising all Hardy 2-functions whose oscillations in non-isotropic metric balls on the compact unit sphere $\mathbb{S}_n$ are proportional to some power of the radius other than the dimension $n \geq 1$.

Contents

Introduction 1
1. Preliminaries 3
1.1. Basic notations 3
1.2. Modified Carleson measures 4
2. Fundamental characterizations 8
2.1. Via Möbius transforms 8
2.2. Via four different gradients 11
3. Möbius invariant counterpart 12
3.1. Relationship to Q_p 12
3.2. Atomic decompositon 15
4. Associated Gleason problem 18
4.1. Canonical example and growth 18
4.2. Solution to the associated Gleason problem 20
5. Induced Carleson problem 22
5.1. Solution to the induced Carleson problem 22
5.2. Riemann-Stieltjes operator 23
References 25

Introduction

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$ let

$$\mathbb{B}_n = \Big\{(z_1, ..., z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \sum_{j=1}^{n} |z_j|^2 < 1\Big\} \ \& \ \mathbb{S}_n = \Big\{(z_1, ..., z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \sum_{j=1}^{n} |z_j|^2 = 1\Big\}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30H25, 32A10, 32A36, 46G12, 47B38.

Key words and phrases. $\mathcal{HC}^s$, Q_p, modified Carleson measures, gradients, associated Gleason problem, induced Carleson problem.

JW was in part supported the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11001246, No. 11101139) and China Scholarship Council.

JX was in part supported by NSERC of Canada and URP of Memorial University.

be the open unit ball and the compact unit sphere in the complex n-space $\mathbb{C}^n$ respectively. If σ stands for the normalized, rotation invariant measure on $\mathbb{S}_n$, then $\mathcal{H}^2$ represents the Hardy 2-space of all holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{B}_n$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^2} = \sup_{r\in(0,1)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}_n} |f(r\zeta)|^2 \, d\sigma(\zeta) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

As is well-known, $f \in \mathcal{H}^2$ ensures that

$$\begin{cases} f(\zeta) = \lim_{r\to 1} f(r\zeta) \ \text{ exists a.e. for } \ \zeta \in \mathbb{S}_n \\ \& \\ \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^2} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}_n} |f(\zeta)|^2 \, d\sigma(\zeta) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{cases}$$

and thus $\mathcal{H}^2$ can be identified with a closed subspace of the Lebesgue 2-space $L^2(\mathbb{S}_n, \sigma)$.

For $s \in (-1, \frac{n}{2}]$ let $\mathcal{HC}^s$ be the Campanato 2-space of all holomorphic functions $f \in \mathcal{H}^2$ obeying

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{HC}^s} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^2} + \sup_{Q(\zeta,r)} \left(r^{2s-n} \int_{Q(\zeta,r)} |f(\xi) - f_{Q(\zeta,r)}|^2 \, d\sigma(\xi) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all non-isotropic metric balls on $\mathbb{S}_n$

$$Q(\zeta, r) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{S}_n : |1 - \langle \zeta, \xi \rangle| < r\}$$

and

$$f_{Q(\zeta,r)} = \int_{Q(\zeta,r)} f(\xi) \, \frac{d\sigma(\xi)}{\sigma(Q(\zeta, r))}.$$

It is not hard to see that $\mathcal{HC}^s$ becomes a Banach space under the norm $\|\,.\,\|_{\mathcal{HC}^s}$ and enjoys the following structure table (see, e.g. [9, 10, 14, 23, 25] and [27, p. 209-217] for the real counterparts which are often used in the theory of elliptic partial differential equations):

Index s	Holomorphic Campanato Space $\mathcal{HC}^s$
$s \in (-1, 0)$	Analytic Lipschitz Space $\mathcal{A}_{-s}$
$s = 0$	Analytic John-Nirenberg Space $\mathcal{BMOA}$
$s \in (0, n/2)$	Holomorphic Morrey Space $\mathcal{HM}^s$
$s = n/2$	Holomorphic Hardy Space $\mathcal{H}^2$

When $n = 1$, some fundamental function/operator-theoretic properties of $\mathcal{HC}^s$ have been discovered in [29, 41, 43, 46, 49, 50]. However, when $n > 1$, as far as we know, there is only one paper partially touching this holomorphic space - more precisely - [11] has established the corona and multiplication theorems for $\mathcal{HC}^s$ under $s \in (0, n/2)$ extending the cases $s \in (-1, 0)$ (cf. [26]; $s = 0$ (cf. [6, 31]); $s = n/2$ (cf. [4, 5]). In light of complex analysis and geometric measure theory, such a higher-dimensional situation is important, interesting, and worth further investigation. This has actually motivated us to carry out this study via the forthcoming five sections. §1 provides basic notations and descriptions of the modified Carleson measures. In §2 we employ the modified Carleson measures to characterize $\mathcal{HC}^s$ by means of the Möbius transforms and four types of gradients. §3 interprets $\mathcal{HC}^s$ using the holomorphic Q-class and its atomic decomposition (via a fractional differentiation), and §4 discusses the Gleason problem for $\mathcal{HC}^s$ through the canonical example and growth. Finally, in §5, we deal with the Carleson problem for $\mathcal{HC}^s$, namely, decide

geometrically how $\mathcal{HC}^s$ embeds continuously into a more general non-isotropic tent space, and consequently describe when the Riemann-Stieltjes operator is continuous on $\mathcal{HC}^s$.

1. Preliminaries

1.1. **Basic notations.** Throughout this paper, we make the following conventions.

- For the finite complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ denote by $\mathbb{C}^n$ the Hilbert space of all points $z = (z_1, ..., z_n) \in \mathbb{C} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}$ equipped with standard inner product

$$\langle z, w\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i \overline{w_i} \quad \forall \quad z = (z_1, ..., z_n) \ \& \ w = (w_1, ..., w_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

  and the associated norm $|z| = \langle z, z\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
- Recall

$$\mathbb{B}_n = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z| < 1\} \ \& \ \mathbb{S}_n = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z| = 1\}.$$

  Denote by ν and σ the volume measure on $\mathbb{B}_n$ normalized so that $\nu(\mathbb{B}_n) = 1$ and the surface-area measure on $\mathbb{S}_n$ normalized so that $\sigma(\mathbb{S}_n) = 1$, respectively.
- For each $a \in \mathbb{B}_n$, suppose that $\varphi_a(z)$ is the Möbius automorphism of $\mathbb{B}_n$ satisfying

$$\varphi_a(0) = a, \ \varphi_a(a) = 0 \ \& \ \varphi_a(\varphi_a(z)) = z.$$

  If $\mathsf{Aut}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ stands for the group of all biholomorphic automorphisms of $\mathbb{B}_n$, then an application of [38, Theorem 2.2.2] derives

$$1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2 = \frac{(1 - |a|^2)(1 - |z|^2)}{|1 - \langle z, a\rangle|^2}.$$

- Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ be the set of all holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{B}_n$. For $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n)$, set

$$\nabla f = (\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, ..., \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}) \ \& \ \mathsf{R} f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} z_k \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k}(z)$$

  be the complex gradient and the radial derivative of f respectively. Upon write

$$d\lambda(z) = \frac{d\nu(z)}{(1 - |z|^2)^{n+1}}$$

  for the Möbius invariant measure in $\mathbb{B}_n$, one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(z) d\lambda(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f \circ \psi(z) d\lambda(z) \quad \forall \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n) \ \& \ \psi \in \mathsf{Aut}(\mathbb{B}_n).$$

- For $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n)$, let $\tilde{\nabla} f(z) = \nabla(f \circ \varphi_z(0))$ be the invariant gradient of f. Then for $f \in H(\mathbb{B}_n)$, using a direct computation we have by [54, p. 49])

$$|\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 = (1 - |z|^2)(|\nabla f|^2 - |\mathsf{R} f(z)|^2) = \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\Delta}|f|^2(z).$$

  and

$$(1 - |z|^2)|\mathsf{R} f(z)| \le (1 - |z|^2)|\nabla f| \le |\tilde{\nabla} f(z)|.$$

- For the Kronecker delta $\delta_{i,j}$, let

$$\tilde{\Delta}u(z) = 4(1-|z|^2)\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}(\delta_{i,j}-\overline{z}_i z_j)\frac{\partial^2 u(z)}{\partial z_i \partial \overline{z}_j}$$

be the invariant Laplace operator of u. Associated with the fundamental solution of this operator is the Green function below:

$$G(z) = \frac{n+1}{2n}\int_{|z|}^{1}(1-t^2)^{n-1}t^{1-2n}\,dt.$$

Clearly,

$$G(z) \approx (1-|z|^2)^n \quad \text{as} \quad |z| \to 1^-.$$

Upon putting

$$\begin{cases} G(z,a) = G(\varphi_a(z)) & \forall \quad z, a \in \mathbb{B}_n; \\ P(z,\omega) = \frac{(1-|z|^2)^n}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{2n}} & \forall \quad z,\ \omega \in \mathbb{B}_n, \end{cases}$$

one has that if $f \in \mathcal{H}^2$, then it follows from [31, Lemma 2.10] or [54, Theorem 4.23] that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}_n}|f(\zeta)-f(0)|^2 d\sigma(\zeta) \approx \int_{\mathbb{B}_n}|\tilde{\nabla}f(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^n d\lambda(z),$$

and consequently for $\varphi_a \in \mathsf{Aut}(\mathbb{B}_n)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}_n}|f\circ\varphi_a(\zeta)-f(a)|^2 d\sigma(\zeta) \approx \int_{\mathbb{B}_n}|\tilde{\nabla}f(z)|^2(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)^n\,\lambda(z).$$

- For a multi-index $m = (m_1, ..., m_n)$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n)$, set

$$\begin{cases} |m| = m_1 + \cdots m_n; \\ z^m = z_1^{m_1}\cdots z_n^{m_n}; \\ \partial^m f = \frac{\partial^{|m|} f}{\partial z_1^{m_1}\cdots\partial z_n^{m_n}}. \end{cases}$$

- In the above and below, $\mathsf{X} \lesssim \mathsf{Y}$ or $\mathsf{X} \gtrsim \mathsf{Y}$ represents $\mathsf{X} \le \kappa\mathsf{Y}$ or $\mathsf{X} \ge \kappa\mathsf{Y}$ for some constant $\kappa > 0$. Similarly, the notation $\mathsf{X} \approx \mathsf{Y}$ means both $\mathsf{X} \lesssim \mathsf{Y}$ and $\mathsf{X} \gtrsim \mathsf{Y}$ hold.

1.2. **Modified Carleson measures.**

Definition 1.1. *For $p > 0$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{S}_n$, we say that a positive Borel measure μ on $\mathbb{B}_n$ is a p-Carleson measure, denoted $\mu \in \mathcal{CM}_p$, if*

$$\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{CM}_p} = \sup_{Q_r(\zeta)}\left(\frac{\mu(Q_r(\zeta))}{r^{np}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty,$$

where the supremun is taken over all Carleson tubes

$$Q_r(\zeta) = \{z \in \mathbb{B}_n : |1-\langle z,\zeta\rangle| < r\}.$$

In particular, 1-Carleson measure is the classical Carleson measure. Moreover, it is easy to see that every p-Carleson measure must be finite, and a finite positive measure μ is a p-Carleson measure if and only if

$$\sup\left\{\frac{\mu(Q_r(\zeta))}{r^{np}} : \zeta \in \mathbb{S}_n, 0 < r < \delta\right\} < \infty$$

holds for any given positive constant $\delta \le 1$.

Lemma 1.2. *Let $p, q \in (0, \infty)$ and μ be a positive Borel measure on $\mathbb{B}_n$. Then $\mu \in C\mathcal{M}_p$ if and only if*

$$\|\mu\|_{C\mathcal{M}_{p,q}} = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}_n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{nq}}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{n(p+q)}}\, d\mu(\omega) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

Proof. First suppose $\|\mu\|_{C\mathcal{M}_{p,q}} < \infty$. For any $\zeta \in \mathbb{S}_n$ and $0 < r < 1$ we take the point $z = (1-r)\zeta$. Note that

$$1 - \langle z, \omega\rangle = (1-r)(1-\langle \zeta, \omega\rangle) + r,$$

so

$$|1 - \langle z, \omega\rangle| \le (1-r)r + r < 2r \quad \forall \quad \omega \in Q_r(\zeta).$$

This implies

$$\frac{(1-|z|^2)^{nq}}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{n(p+q)}} \ge \frac{r^{nq}}{(2r)^{n(p+q)}} \gtrsim \frac{1}{r^{np}} \quad \forall \quad \omega \in Q_r(\zeta),$$

and then

$$\|\mu\|^2_{CM_{p,q}} \ge \int_{Q_r(\zeta)} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{nq}}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{n(p+q)}} d\mu(\omega) \gtrsim \frac{\mu(Q_r(\zeta))}{r^{np}}.$$

Consequently, $\mu \in C\mathcal{M}_p$.

Next, assume $\mu \in C\mathcal{M}_p$. Since μ is finite, we have

$$\sup_{|z|\le\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{nq}}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{n(p+q)}} d\mu(\omega) \lesssim \mu(\mathbb{B}_n) \lesssim \|\mu\|^2_{C\mathcal{M}_p} < \infty.$$

If $\frac{3}{4} < |z| < 1$, then choosing

$$\begin{cases} k \in \mathbb{Z}^+; \\ \zeta = z/|z|; \\ r_k = 2^{k+1}(1-|z|); \\ E_0 = Q_{r_0}(\zeta); \\ E_k = Q_{r_k}(\zeta) \setminus Q_{r_{k-1}}, \end{cases}$$

and using $\mu \in C\mathcal{M}_p$ we obtain

$$\mu(E_k) \le \mu(Q_{r_k}(\zeta)) \lesssim 2^{n(k+1)p}(1-|z|)^{np}\|\mu\|^2_{C\mathcal{M}_p}.$$

and

$$|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle| \ge |z||1-\langle \zeta,\omega\rangle| - (1-|z|) \ge 2^{k-1}(1-|z|). \quad \forall \quad \omega \in E_k,$$

whence

$$\int_{E_k} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{nq}}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{n(p+q)}}\, d\mu(\omega) \lesssim \frac{(1-|z|)^{nq}}{\left(2^{k-1}(1-|z|)\right)^{n(p+q)}} (2^{n(k+1)p}(1-|z|)^{np})\|\mu\|^2_{C\mathcal{M}_p} \lesssim \frac{\|\mu\|^2_{C\mathcal{M}_p}}{2^{nqk}}.$$

This last estimate gives

$$\|\mu\|^2_{CM_{p,q}} = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}_n} \left(\int_{E_0} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_k} \right) \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^{nq}}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{n(p+q)}}\, d\mu(\omega) \lesssim \|\mu\|^2_{C\mathcal{M}_p} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-nqk} < \infty.$$

□

Lemma 1.3. [31] *Let $s > -1$, $r,\ t \geq 0$ and $r + t - s > n + 1$. Then we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)^s}{|1-z\overline{\zeta'}|^r|1-\omega\overline{\zeta'}|^t}dv(\zeta) \lesssim \begin{cases} |1-\omega\overline{z'}|^{s+n+1-r-t} & \text{if } r-s,\ t-s < n+1; \\ \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{s+n+1-r}}{|1-\omega\overline{z'}|^t} & \text{if } t-s < n+1 < r-s; \\ \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{s+n+1-r}}{|1-\omega\overline{z'}|^t} + \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^{s+n+1-t}}{|1-\omega\overline{z'}|^r} & \text{if } r-s,\ t-s > n+1. \end{cases}$$

Some essential descriptions of $\mathcal{HC}^s$ presented later, depend heavily on the following general invariance for the modified Carleson measures.

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose*

$$\begin{cases} p, \eta \in (0, \frac{n+1}{n}); \\ a > \max\{-\frac{1+\eta}{2}, -\frac{1+\eta+n(1-p)}{2}\}; \\ b > \frac{1+\eta}{2}. \end{cases}$$

For any Lebesgue measurable function f on $\mathbb{B}_n$ let

$$\mathsf{T}_{a,b}f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^{b-1}}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{n+a+b}} f(\omega)dv(\omega) \ \ \forall\ \ z \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

If $|f(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^\eta dv(z)$ belongs to $C\mathcal{M}_p$, then $|\mathsf{T}_{a,b}f(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^{2a+\eta}dv(z)$ also belongs to $C\mathcal{M}_p$.

Proof. Set

$$d\mu_{f,\eta}(z) = |f(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^\eta dv(z) \ \ \&\ \ d\mu_{\mathsf{T}_{a,b}f,\eta}(z) = |\mathsf{T}_{a,b}f(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^{2a+\eta}dv(z).$$

It is enough to prove

$$\|\mu_{f,\eta}\|_{C\mathcal{M}_p} < \infty \Rightarrow \|\mu_{\mathsf{T}_{a,b}f,\eta}\|_{C\mathcal{M}_p} < \infty.$$

To do so, let $\delta > 0$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{S}_n$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $2^k\delta \leq 1$. Then, for the Carleson tube

$$Q_\delta(\zeta) = \{z \in \mathbb{B}_n :\ |1-\langle z,\zeta\rangle| < \delta\},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\mathsf{T}_{a,b}f,\eta}(Q_\delta(\zeta)) &= \int_{Q_\delta(\zeta)} |\mathsf{T}_{a,b}f(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^{2a+\eta}\,dv(z) \\ &= \int_{Q_\delta(\zeta)} \left((\int_{Q_{2\delta}(\zeta)} + \int_{\mathbb{B}_n\setminus Q_{2\delta}(\zeta)}) \frac{|f(\omega)|(1-|\omega|^2)^{b-1}}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{n+a+b}} dv(\omega) \right)^2 (1-|z|^2)^{2a+\eta}\,dv(z) \\ &\lesssim \int_{Q_\delta(\zeta)} \left(\int_{Q_{2\delta}(\zeta)} \frac{|f(\omega)|(1-|\omega|^2)^{b-1}}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{n+a+b}} dv(\omega) \right)^2 (1-|z|^2)^{2a+\eta}\,dv(z) \\ &+ \int_{Q_\delta(\zeta)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n\setminus Q_{2\delta}(\zeta)} \frac{|f(\omega)|(1-|\omega|^2)^{b-1}}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{n+a+b}} dv(\omega) \right)^2 (1-|z|^2)^{2a+\eta}\,dv(z) \\ &\equiv \mathsf{Int}_1 + \mathsf{Int}_2. \end{aligned}$$

For Int_1, let

$$k(z,\omega) = \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{a+\frac{\eta}{2}}(1-|\omega|^2)^{b-1-\frac{\eta}{2}}}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{n+a+b}}$$

and T be its induced integral operator:

$$\mathsf{T}f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(\omega)k(z,\omega)dv(\omega).$$

Then, upon choosing

$$\max\Big\{-a-\frac{\eta}{2}-1,-b+\frac{\eta}{2}\Big\}<\gamma<\min\Big\{a+\frac{\eta}{2},b-1-\frac{\eta}{2}\Big\}$$

and employing Lemma 1.3, we get

$$\begin{cases}\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}k(z,\omega)(1-|\omega|^2)^{\gamma}d\nu(\omega)\lesssim(1-|z|^2)^{\gamma};\\ \int_{\mathbb{B}_n}k(z,\omega)(1-|z|^2)^{\gamma}d\nu(z)\lesssim(1-|\omega|^2)^{\gamma}.\end{cases}$$

Therefore, we apply the Schur's test [54, Theorem 2.9] to get that T is bounded on $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$. Choosing

$$g(\omega)=(1-|\omega|^2)^{\frac{\eta}{2}}f(\omega)1_{Q_{2\delta}}(\zeta)(\omega)$$

where $1_{Q_{2\delta}}(\zeta)(\omega)$ is the characteristic function of $Q_{2\delta}(\zeta)$, we employ the boundedness of T and $\mu_{f,\eta}\in C\mathcal{M}_p$ to get

$$\mathsf{Int}_1\lesssim\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}g(\omega)k(z,\omega)d\nu(\omega)\right)^2d\nu(z)\lesssim\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}|g(z)|^2d\nu(z)\lesssim\delta^{np}\|\mu_{f,\eta}\|^2_{C\mathcal{M}_p}.$$

For Int_2, let

$$A_j=\{\omega\in\mathbb{B}_n:\ 2^j\delta\le|1-\langle\omega,\zeta\rangle|<2^{j+1}\delta\}\ \ \forall\ \ j\in\mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Note that $z\in A_j$ and $\omega\in Q_\delta(\zeta)$ ensure

$$|1-\langle\omega,z\rangle|^{\frac12}\ge|1-\langle z,\zeta\rangle|^{\frac12}-|1-\langle\omega,\zeta\rangle|^{\frac12}\ge(2^{\frac{j}{2}}-1)\delta^{\frac12}\ge\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{2}-1)2^{\frac{j}{2}}\delta^{\frac12},$$

where the first triangle inequality is from [38, p.66]. Note that

$$\int_{Q_\delta(\zeta)}(1-|z|^2)^{2a+\eta}d\nu(z)\lesssim\delta^{2a+\eta+n+1}\quad\&\quad\mathbb{B}_n\setminus Q_{2\delta}(\zeta)=\cup_{j=1}^{\infty}A_j.$$

So, using $a>-\frac{1+\eta+n(1-p)}{2}$, $p\in(0,\frac{n+1}{n})$ and the Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{Int}_2&\lesssim\int_{Q_\delta(\zeta)}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\int_{A_j}\frac{|f(\omega)|(1-|\omega|^2)^{b-1}}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{n+a+b}}d\nu(\omega)\right)^2\frac{d\nu(z)}{(1-|z|^2)^{-2a-\eta}}\\
&\lesssim\int_{Q_\delta(\zeta)}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(2^j\delta)^{-(n+a+b)}\int_{Q_{2^{j+1}\delta}(\zeta)}\frac{|f(\omega)|}{(1-|\omega|^2)^{1-b}}d\nu(\omega)\right)^2\frac{d\nu(z)}{(1-|z|^2)^{-2a-\eta}}\\
&\lesssim\delta^{1+\eta-n-2b}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}2^{-j(n+a+b)}\frac{\left[\int_{Q_{2^{j+1}\delta}(\zeta)}|f(\omega)|^2(1-|\omega|^2)^{\eta}d\nu(\omega)\right]^{\frac12}}{\left[\int_{Q_{2^{j+1}\delta}(\zeta)}(1-|\omega|^2)^{2b-2-\eta}\,d\nu(\omega)\right]^{-\frac12}}\right)^2\\
&\lesssim\delta^{1+\eta-n-2b}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}2^{-j(n+a+b)}(2^j\delta)^{\frac{np}{2}}(2^j\delta)^{\frac{2b+n-\eta-1}{2}}\|\mu\|_{C\mathcal{M}_p}\right)^2\\
&\lesssim\delta^{np}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}2^{-j(\frac{1+\eta+n-np}{2}+a)}\right)^2\|\mu\|^2_{C\mathcal{M}_p}\\
&\lesssim\delta^{np}\|\mu\|^2_{C\mathcal{M}_p}.\end{aligned}$$

The foregoing estimates for Int_1 and Int_2 imply that $\mu_{\mathsf{T}_{a,b}f,\eta}\in C\mathcal{M}_p$. □

2. Fundamental characterizations

2.1. **Via Möbius transforms.** Fundamentally, we would first like to know:

What is the behavior of a function in $\mathcal{HC}^s$ under the Möbius self-mappings of $\mathbb{B}_n$?

The following characterization of $\mathcal{HC}^s$ shows that this space is not Möbius-invariant unless $s = 0$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{H}^2$ and $s \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{n}{2}]$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $f \in \mathcal{HC}^s$.

(ii) $\|f\|_{\mathcal{HC}^s,*} = \sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n}(1-|a|^2)^s\|f\circ\varphi_a - f(a)\|_{\mathcal{H}^2} < \infty$.

(iii) $\|f\|_{\mathcal{HC}^s,\star} = \sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n}\Big((1-|a|^2)^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}|\tilde{\nabla}f(z)|^2G(z,a)d\lambda(z)\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$.

Proof. (i)⇔(ii) This is handled in accordance with three cases below.

Case 1. $s \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$. If $f \in \mathcal{HC}^s = \mathcal{A}_{-s}$, using [54, Theorem 7.2] we then see that

$$f \in \mathcal{HC}^s \Leftrightarrow |||f|||_{\mathcal{HC}^s,*} = \sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n}(1-|z|^2)^s|\tilde{\nabla}f(z)| < \infty.$$

According to those two integral formulas linking f and $\tilde{\nabla}f$ listed in the sixth bullet of §1.1 and Lemma 1.3 or [38, Proposition 1.4.10], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|^2_{\mathcal{HC}^s,*} &= \sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n}(1-|a|^2)^{2s}\|f\circ\varphi_a - f(a)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^2}\\
&\approx \sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n}(1-|a|^2)^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}|\tilde{\nabla}f(z)|^2(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)^n d\lambda(z)\\
&\lesssim |||f|||^2_{\mathcal{HC}^s,*}\sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n}\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}\Big(\frac{1-|a|^2}{1-|z|^2}\Big)^{2s}(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)^n d\lambda(z)\\
&\lesssim |||f|||^2_{\mathcal{HC}^s,*}\sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n}\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}\frac{(1-|a|^2)^{n+2s}(1-|z|^2)^{(-1-2s)}}{|1-\langle a,z\rangle|^{n+1+(-1-2s)+n+2s}}d\nu(z)\\
&\lesssim |||f|||^2_{\mathcal{HC}^s,*}.
\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, if $\|f\|_{HC^s,*} < \infty$, setting $g = f\circ\varphi_a - f(a)$, then it is easy to show

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tilde{\nabla}f(a)| &= |\nabla g(0)|\\
&\le \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}_n}|g(\zeta)-g(0)|^2 d\sigma(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&= \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}_n}|f\circ\varphi_a(\zeta)-f(a)|^2 d\sigma(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&= \|f\circ\varphi_a - f(a)\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n}(1-|a|^2)^s|\tilde{\nabla}f(a)| \le \sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n}(1-|a|^2)^s\|f\circ\varphi_a - f(a)\|_{\mathcal{H}^2} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{HC}^s,*} < \infty$$

whence $f \in \mathcal{A}_{-s} = \mathcal{HC}^s$.

Case 2. $s \in [0, \frac{n}{2})$. Given $a \in \mathbb{B}_n$. If $|a| \le \frac{3}{4}$, then $\|f\|_{\mathcal{HC}^{s,*}} < \infty$ follows by $f \in \mathcal{H}^2$. Hence we need only consider $a \in \mathbb{B}_n$ with $|a| > \frac{3}{4}$. To this end, let

$$Q_k = Q(a/|a|, 4^k(1-|a|)) = \left\{\xi \in \mathbb{S}_n : |1 - \langle \xi, a/|a|\rangle| < 4^k(1-|a|)\right\}$$

for $k = 0, 1, ..., N$, where N is the smallest natural number such that $Q_N = \mathbb{S}_n$. When $k \ge 1$ and $\zeta \in Q_k \setminus Q_{k-1}$, according to

$$1 - \langle a, \zeta\rangle = 1 - |a| + |a|(1 - \langle a/|a|, \zeta\rangle),$$

we obtain

$$|1 - \langle a, \zeta\rangle| \approx 4^k(1-|a|) \ \ \& \ \ \sigma(Q_{k+1}) \approx \sigma(Q_k) \approx 4^{nk}(1-|a|)^n.$$

Since

$$f(a) = \int_{\mathbb{S}_n} f(\zeta) P(a, \zeta) d\sigma(\zeta)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}_n} |f(\zeta) - f_{Q_0}|^2 P(a,\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{S}_n} |f(\zeta) - f(a)|^2 P(a,\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) + |f(a) - f_{Q_0}|^2,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f \circ \varphi_a - f(a)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^2} &= \int_{\mathbb{S}n} |f \circ \varphi_a(\zeta) - f(a)|^2 d\sigma(\zeta) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{S}n} |f(\zeta) - f(a)|^2 P(a,\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) \\
&\le \int_{\mathbb{S}_n} |f(\zeta) - f_{Q_0}|^2 P(a,\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{S}_n} |f(\zeta) - f_{Q_0}|^2 \frac{(1-|a|^2)^n}{|1-\langle a,\zeta\rangle|^{2n}} d\sigma(\zeta) \\
&\lesssim \left(\int_{Q_0} + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{Q_{k+1}\setminus Q_k}\right) |f(\zeta) - f_{Q_0}|^2 \frac{(1-|a|^2)^n}{|1-\langle a,\zeta\rangle|^{2n}} d\sigma(\zeta) \\
&\lesssim (1-|a|^2)^{-n} \left(\int_{Q_0} + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{Q_{k+1}\setminus Q_k} 4^{-2nk}\right) |f(\zeta) - f_{Q_0}|^2 d\sigma(\zeta) \\
&\lesssim \int_{Q_0} |f(\zeta) - f_{Q_0}|^2 \frac{d\sigma(\zeta)}{\sigma(Q_0)} + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} 4^{-nk} \int_{Q_{k+1}} |f(\zeta) - f_{Q_0}|^2 \frac{d\sigma(\zeta)}{\sigma(Q_{k+1})}.
\end{aligned}$$

From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and $f\in\mathcal{HC}^s$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}|f_{Q_{k+1}}-f_{Q_k}|&=\frac{1}{\sigma(Q_k)}\left|\int_{Q_k}(f(\zeta)-f_{Q_{k+1}})d\sigma(\zeta)\right|\\&\lesssim\left(\frac{1}{\sigma(Q_k)}\int_{Q_k}|f(\zeta)-f_{Q_{k+1}}|^2d\sigma(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\&\lesssim\left(\frac{1}{\sigma(Q_{k+1})}\int_{Q_{k+1}}|f(\zeta)-f_{Q_{k+1}}|^2d\sigma(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\&\lesssim 2^{-n(k+1)}(1-|a|)^{-\frac{n}{2}}2^{(k+1)(n-2s)}(1-|a|)^{\frac{n-2s}{2}}\|f\|_{\mathcal{HC}^s}\\&\lesssim 4^{-(k+1)s}(1-|a|)^{-s}\|f\|_{\mathcal{HC}^s}\\&\lesssim(1-|a|)^{-s}\|f\|_{\mathcal{HC}^s},\end{aligned}$$

and so that

$$|f_{Q_{k+1}}-f_{Q_0}|\lesssim|f_{Q_{k+1}}-f_{Q_k}|+\cdots+|f_{Q_1}-f_{Q_0}|\lesssim k(1-|a|)^{-s}\|f\|_{\mathcal{HC}^s}.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_{Q_{k+1}}|f(\zeta)-f_{Q_0}|^2\,\frac{d\sigma(\zeta)}{\sigma(Q_{k+1})}\lesssim\int_{Q_{k+1}}|f(\zeta)-f_{Q_{k+1}}|^2\,\frac{d\sigma(\zeta)}{\sigma(Q_{k+1})}+|f_{Q_{k+1}}-f_{Q_0}|^2\lesssim k^2(1-|a|)^{-2s}\|f\|^2_{\mathcal{HC}^s}.$$

This implies

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{HC}^s,*}=\sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n}(1-|a|^2)^s\|f\circ\varphi_a-f(a)\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}\lesssim\|f\|_{\mathcal{HC}^s}<\infty.$$

On the other hand, if $\|f\|_{\mathcal{HC}^s,*}<\infty$, then for any given $(\zeta,r)\in\mathbb{S}_n\times(0,1)$, we take

$$a=(1-r)\zeta\ \ \&\ \ \ Q(\zeta,r)=\{\xi\in\mathbb{S}_n:\,|1-\langle\zeta,\xi\rangle|<r\},$$

thereby estimating

$$\begin{aligned}&r^{2s-n}\int_{Q(\zeta,r)}|f(\xi)-f_{Q(\zeta,r)}|^2\,d\sigma(\xi)\\&\lesssim(1-|a|^2)^{2s-n}\int_{Q(\zeta,r)}|f(\xi)-f(a)|^2\,d\sigma(\xi)\\&\lesssim(1-|a|^2)^{2s}\int_{Q(\zeta,r)}|f(\xi)-f(a)|^2\frac{(1-|a|^2)^n}{|1-\langle a,\xi\rangle|^{2n}}\,d\sigma(\xi)\\&\lesssim(1-|a|^2)^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{S}_n}|f(\xi)-f(a)|^2\frac{(1-|a|^2)^n}{|1-\langle a,\xi\rangle|^{2n}}\,d\sigma(\xi)\\&\approx(1-|a|^2)^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{S}_n}|f\circ\varphi_a(\xi)-f(a)|^2\,d\sigma(\xi)\\&\lesssim\|f\|^2_{\mathcal{HC}^s,*}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|f\|_{\mathcal{HC}^s}<\infty$.

Case 3: $s=\frac{n}{2}$. If

$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n}(1-|a|^2)^{2s}\|f\circ\varphi_a-f(a)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^2}<\infty,$$

then

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}\lesssim|f(0)|+\|f-f(0)\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}<\infty.$$

Conversely, if $f\in\mathcal{H}^2$, then an application of

$$\|f\circ\varphi_a-f(a)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^2}=\int_{\mathbb{S}_n}|f\circ\varphi_a(\zeta)-f(a)|^2d\sigma(\zeta)\approx\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}|\tilde{\nabla}(z)|^2(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)^nd\lambda(z)\ \ \forall\ \ a\in\mathbb{B}_n$$

derives

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n}(1-|a|^2)^n\|f\circ\varphi_a-f(a)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^2}\\&\approx\sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n}(1-|a|^2)^n\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}|\tilde{\nabla}f(z)|^2(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)^nd\lambda(z)\\&\approx\sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n}\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}|\tilde{\nabla}f(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^n\frac{(1-|a|^2)^{2n}}{|1-\langle a,z\rangle|^{2n}}d\lambda(z)\\&\lesssim\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}|\tilde{\nabla}f(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^nd\lambda(z)\\&\approx\|f-f(0)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^2}\lesssim|f(0)|^2+\|f\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^2}<\infty.\end{aligned}$$

(ii)⇔(iii) This is a consequence of the above-verified equivalence (i)⇔(ii) and the well-known estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}|\tilde{\nabla}f(z)|^2G(z,a)d\lambda(z)\approx\int_{\mathbb{S}_n}|f\circ\varphi_a(\zeta)-f(a)|^2d\sigma(\zeta).$$

□

2.2. **Via four different gradients.** Based on Theorem 2.1 and the modified Carleson measures, the following assertion reveals four gradient behaviors of $\mathcal{HC}^s$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f\in\mathcal{H}^2$ and $s\in(-\frac{1}{2},\frac{n}{2})$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $f\in\mathcal{HC}^s$.

(ii) $|\tilde{\nabla}f(z)|(1-|z|^2)^{-1}d\nu(z)$ *belongs to* $C\mathcal{M}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}$.

(iii) $|\nabla f(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)d\nu(z)$ *belongs to* $C\mathcal{M}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}$.

(iv) $|\mathsf{R}f(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)d\nu(z)$ *belongs to* $C\mathcal{M}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}$.

(v) $\sum_{i<j}|\mathsf{T}_{i,j}f(z)|^2d\nu(z)$ *belongs to* $C\mathcal{M}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}$ *where* $\mathsf{T}_{i,j}=\overline{z_j}\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i}-\overline{z_i}\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}$.

Proof. The argument is divided into four steps below.

Step 1: Note that

$$\begin{aligned}&(1-|a|^2)^{2s}\|f\circ\varphi_a-f(a)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^2}\\&\approx(1-|a|^2)^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}|\tilde{\nabla}f(z)|^2(1-|\phi_a(z)|^2)^nd\lambda(z)\\&\approx(1-|a|^2)^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}\Big(\frac{|\tilde{\nabla}f(z)|^2}{(1-|z|^2)^{n+1}}\Big)\Big(\frac{(1-|a|^2)^n(1-|z|^2)^n}{|1-\langle a,z\rangle|^{2n}}\Big)d\nu(z)\\&\approx\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}\Big(\frac{|\tilde{\nabla}f(z)|^2}{1-|z|^2}\Big)\Big(\frac{(1-|a|^2)^{n+2s}}{|1-\langle a,z\rangle|^{2n}}\Big)d\nu(z)\\&\approx\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}\Big(\frac{|\tilde{\nabla}f(z)|^2}{1-|z|^2}\Big)\Big(\frac{(1-|a|^2)^{n(1+\frac{2s}{n})}}{|1-\langle a,z\rangle|^{n((1+\frac{2s}{n})+(1-\frac{2s}{n}))}}\Big)d\nu(z).\end{aligned}$$

An application of Theorem 2.1 implies that (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

Step 2: It is easy to see that both (ii)⇒(iii) and (iii)⇒ (iv) hold.

Step 3: Assume (iv) hods. Notice that

$$f(z)-f(0)=\int_0^1\frac{df(tz)}{dt}dt=\int_0^1\frac{\mathsf{R}f(tz)}{t}dt.$$

Hence

$$\mathsf{T}_{i,j}f(z)=\int_0^1\frac{(\mathsf{T}_{i,j}\mathsf{R}f)(tz)}{t}dt.$$

To prove that $\sum_{i<j}|\mathsf{T}_{i,j}f(z)|^2d\nu(z)$ belongs to $\mathcal{CM}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}$, it is sufficient to prove

$$\left(\int_{\frac12}^1|(\mathsf{T}_{i,j}\mathsf{R}f)(tz)|dt\right)^2d\lambda(z)\in\mathcal{CM}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}\ \ \forall\ \ 1\le i,j\le n.$$

For any $\alpha>0$, according to [22, p.3374], we get

$$\int_{\frac12}^1|(\mathsf{T}_{i,j}\mathsf{R}f)(tz)|dt\le\int_0^1|(\mathsf{T}_{i,j}\mathsf{R}f)(tz)|dt\lesssim\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}\frac{(1-|z|^2)^\alpha|(\mathsf{R}f)(z)|}{|1-\langle\omega,z\rangle|^{n+\alpha+\frac12}}d\nu(z).$$

Theorem 1.4 is used to show that

$$\left(\int_{\frac12}^1|(\mathsf{T}_{i,j}\mathsf{R}f)(tz)|dt\right)^2d\lambda(z)$$

belongs to $\mathcal{CM}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}$ by taking $b=1+\alpha$, $\eta=1$ and $a=-\frac12$. This in turns proves that

$$\sum_{i<j}|\mathsf{T}_{i,j}f(z)|^2d\nu(z)$$

belongs to $\mathcal{CM}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}$. Thus (v) holds.

Step 4: Now, if (v) holds, then applying [19, Lemma 2] or [22, Lemma 2.3], and the equality in [22, Lemma 2.2]:

$$|z|^2|\tilde\nabla f(z)|^2=(1-|z|^2)\left((1-|z|^2)|\mathsf{R}f(z)|^2+\sum_{i<j}|\mathsf{T}_{i,j}f(z)|^2\right),$$

we have that (i) holds.

□

3. Möbius invariant counterpart

3.1. **Relationship to $\mathcal{Q}_p$.** Observe that Theorem 2.1 tells us that $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{HC}^s}$ varies under the Möbius self-mappings of $\mathbb{B}_n$ unless $s=0$. So, it is very natural to ask the following question:

What is the Möbius invariant counterpart of $\mathcal{HC}^s$ for each $s\neq0$?

Fortunately, answering this question leads to a consideration of the so-called holomorphic $\mathcal{Q}_p$-spaces with $p>0$ (cf. [32]):

$$\mathcal{Q}_p=\left\{f\in\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n):\ \|f\|_{\mathcal{Q}_p}=\sup_{\omega\in\mathbb{B}_n}\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}|\nabla f(z)|^2G^p(z,\omega)d\nu(z)\right)^{\frac12}<\infty\right\},$$

whose one-dimensional case goes back to [7] (cf. [13, 44, 46]). Clearly, each $\mathcal{Q}_p$ is Möbius invariant. More importantly, $\mathcal{Q}_p$ enjoys the following structure table:

Index p	Space $\mathcal{Q}_p$
$0 < p \leq \frac{n-1}{n}$	$\mathbb{C}$
$\frac{n-1}{n} < p < 1$	$\mathcal{Q}_p$ with fractional scale
$p = 1$	Analytic John-Nirenberg space $\mathcal{BMOA}$ [12]
$1 < p < \frac{n}{n-1}$	Bloch space [32]
$p \geq \frac{n}{n-1}$	$\mathbb{C}$

Comparing this table and the structure table of $\mathcal{HC}^s$, and taking [43] (for $n = 1$) into an account, we ask ourselves whether there is any connection between $\mathcal{HC}^s$ and $\mathcal{Q}_p$ under $n \geq 2$. To treat this question, let us recall the concept of the fractional derivatives.

Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ has the homogeneous expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k(z).$$

For such real parameters α and t that neither $n + \alpha$ nor $n + \alpha + t$ is a negative integer, the radial fractional derivative is defined below:

$$\mathsf{R}^{\alpha,t} f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1+\alpha)\Gamma(n+1+k+\alpha+t)}{\Gamma(n+1+\alpha+t)\Gamma(n+1+k+\alpha)} f_k(z),$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the classical gamma function. Then, $\mathsf{R}^{\alpha,t}$ exists as an operator mapping $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ to $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n)$, and its inverse $\mathsf{R}_{\alpha,t}$ is determined by:

$$\mathsf{R}_{\alpha,t} f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1+\alpha+t)\Gamma(n+1+k+\alpha)}{\Gamma(n+1+\alpha)\Gamma(n+1+k+\alpha+t)} f_k(z).$$

In particular,

$$\mathsf{R}^{\alpha,0} \equiv \mathsf{I} \quad \& \quad \mathsf{R}^{\alpha,t_1} \circ \mathsf{R}^{\alpha+t_1,t_2} = \mathsf{R}^{\alpha,t_1+t_2}.$$

An application of Stirling's formula yields that

$$\frac{\Gamma(n+1+\alpha)\Gamma(n+1+k+\alpha+t)}{\Gamma(n+1+\alpha+t)\Gamma(n+1+k+\alpha)} \approx k^t,$$

and so that

$$\mathsf{R}^{\alpha,t} f(z) \quad \& \quad \mathsf{R}_{\alpha,t} f(z)$$

can be replaced by

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^t f_k(z) \quad \& \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{-t} f_k(z),$$

respectively.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $s \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, $t \in (0, \infty)$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n)$. If neither $n+\alpha$ nor $n+\alpha+t$ is a negative integer, then the following three statements are equivalent:*

(i) $f \in \mathcal{HC}^s$.

(ii) *For any $t > \max\{0, -s\}$, the measure $|\mathsf{R}^{\alpha,t} f(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2)^{2t-1} dv(z)$ belongs to $\mathcal{CM}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}$.*

(iii) $\mathsf{R}^{\alpha,-s} f \in \mathcal{Q}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}$.

Proof. The argument is divided into the following two steps.

Step 1: (i)⇔(ii). According to Theorem 2.2, one has

$$f \in \mathcal{HC}^s \Leftrightarrow |\mathsf{R} f(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)d\nu(z) \in \mathcal{CM}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}.$$

This means that (ii)⇒(i) is trivial. Conversely, suppose that (i) is valid. Then we use [8] and [21] to get

$$|\mathsf{R}^{\alpha,t} f(z)| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|\mathsf{R} f(\omega)|(1-|\omega|^2)^{b-1}}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{n+b+(t-1)}} d\nu(\omega) \quad \text{as} \quad (t,b) \in (0,1)\times(0,\infty).$$

In view of Theorem 1.4, it follows that

$$|\mathsf{R}^{\alpha,t} f(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^{2t-1}d\nu(z) \in \mathcal{CM}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}$$

by setting $\eta = 1$, $a = t-1$ and $p = 1-\frac{2s}{n}$. When $t > 1$, a slight modification of the proof of [21, Theorem 1] derives that

$$|\mathsf{R}^{\alpha,t} f(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^{2t-1}d\nu(z) \in \mathcal{CM}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}.$$

Thus (ii) holds.

Step 2: (i)⇔(iii). *Step 1* indicates

$$f \in \mathcal{HC}^s \Leftrightarrow |\mathsf{R}^{\alpha,t} f(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^{2t-1}d\nu(z) \in \mathcal{CM}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}.$$

Taking $t = 1-s$ we obtain

$$2t-1 = 1-2s = 1+n[(1-\frac{2s}{n})-1].$$

By applying [28, Corollary 3.2] to $p = 1-\frac{2s}{n}$ and $m = 1$, we can show

$$f \in \mathcal{HC}^s \Leftrightarrow \mathsf{R}^{\alpha,-s} f \in \mathcal{Q}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}.$$

Thus (iii)⇒(i) follows.

Conversely, suppose that (i) is true. Thanks to (i)⇔(ii) we get

$$\mathsf{R}^{\alpha,s} f \in \mathcal{HC}^s \Leftrightarrow |\mathsf{R}^{\alpha,t} \circ \mathsf{R}^{\alpha+t,s} f(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^{2t-1}d\nu(z) \in \mathcal{CM}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}.$$

Note that

$$\mathsf{R}^{\alpha,t} \circ \mathsf{R}^{\alpha+t,s} = \mathsf{R}^{\alpha,t+s}.$$

So, setting $t = 1-s$, along with [28, Corollary 3.2], we have $f \in \mathcal{Q}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}$, whence validating (iii). □

Remarkably, $\mathcal{HC}^s$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}$ via a fractional differentiation:

$$\mathcal{HC}^s = \begin{cases} \Lambda_{-s} \cong \mathcal{B} \text{ as } -\frac{1}{2} < s < 0; \\ \mathcal{BMOA} \cong \mathcal{BMOA} \text{ as } s = 0; \\ \mathcal{HM}^s \cong \mathcal{Q}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}} \text{ as } 0 < s < \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

where ≅ stands for isomorphism. As a simple application of Theorem 3.1, we obtain the structure assertion on $\mathcal{Q}_p$ below.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $s \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $f \in \mathcal{Q}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}$.

(ii) *For any $t > \max\{0, -s\}$, $|\mathsf{R}^{\alpha,t} f(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^{2t-2s-1}d\nu(z)$ belongs to $\mathcal{CM}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}$.*

(iii) $\mathsf{R}^{\alpha,s} f \in \mathcal{HC}^s$.

Proof. The argument is similar to follow from Theorem 3.1. □

3.2. **Atomic decompositon.** Atomic decompositions for the Bloch space, $\mathcal{BMOA}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_p$ (with fractional order p) have been obtained in [36], [37] and [42, 33], respectively. This observation plus Corollary 3.2 suggests us to find an atomic decomposition for $\mathcal{HC}^s$. To do so, let

$$\beta(z,\omega) = \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{1+|\varphi_z(\omega)|}{1-|\varphi_z(\omega)|}$$

be the Bergman distance between z and ω in $\mathbb{B}_n$, where

$$\varphi_z \in \mathsf{Aut}(\mathbb{B}_n) \ \text{ with } \ \varphi_z(0) = z \ \ \& \ \ \varphi_z(z) = 0.$$

Suppose $r > 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$, the set

$$\mathbb{B}(z,r) = \{\omega \in \mathbb{B}_n : \beta(z,\omega) < r\}$$

is called a Bergman metric ball centered at z. Given an $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. A sequence $\{a_k\} \subset \mathbb{B}_n$ is called an r-lattice in the Bergman metric if $\{a_k\}$ has the following properties:

- $\mathbb{B}_n = \cup_k \mathbb{B}(a_k, r)$.
- The sets $\mathbb{B}(a_k, r/4)$ are mutually disjoint.
- Each point $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$ belongs to at most N of the sets $\mathbb{B}(a_k, r/4)$.
- $\beta(a_i, a_j) \geq \frac{r}{2}$ for all $i \neq j$.

By [54, Theorem 2.23], we can select an $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that for any $1 < r \leq 1$ there is an r-lattice $\{a_k\} \subset \mathbb{B}_n$. For $a \in \mathbb{B}_n$ we write δ_a to denote the unit point-mass at the point a.

Lemma 3.3. *Assume $s \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ and $b > n - s$.*

(i) *Let $\{a_k\}$ be an r-lattice as described above. If $\{c_k\}$ is such a complex sequence that*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_k|^2 (1-|a_k|^2)^{n-2s} \delta_{a_k}$$

belongs to $\mathcal{CM}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}$, then

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \frac{(1-|a_k|^2)^b}{(1-\langle z, a_k\rangle)^b}$$

is an element of $\mathcal{Q}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}$.

(ii) *If $f \in \mathcal{Q}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}$, then there exists an r-lattice $\{a_k\} \subset \mathbb{B}_n$ (as described above) and a complex sequence $\{c_k\}$ such that*

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \frac{(1-|a_k|^2)^b}{(1-\langle z, a_k\rangle)^b},$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_k|^2 (1-|a_k|^2)^{n-2s} \delta_{a_k}$$

belongs to $\mathcal{CM}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}$,

Proof. Let

$$d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_k|^2 (1-|a_k|^2)^{n-2s} \delta_{a_k}.$$

Case 1: $0 \le s < \frac{1}{2}$. This ensures $p = 1 - \frac{2s}{n} \in (\frac{n-1}{n}, 1]$. So, the decomposition assertion under this case follows from [33, Theorem 1].

Case 2: $-\frac{1}{2} < s < 0$. Under this situation, one has that $\mathcal{Q}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}$ coincides with the Bloch space $\mathcal{B}$ which, according to [54, Theorem 3.23], consists of the following functions

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \frac{(1-|a_k|^2)^b}{(1-\langle z, a_k\rangle)^b},$$

where $\{c_k\} \in l^\infty$. Hence, it suffices to prove that $\{c_k\} \in l^\infty$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_k|^2 (1-|a_k|^2)^{n-2s} \delta_{a_k}$$

belongs to $\mathcal{CM}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}$. In fact, if

$$\{c_k\} \in l^\infty \ \ \& \ \ d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_k|^2 (1-|a_k|^2)^{n-2s} \delta_{a_k},$$

then

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^n}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{2n-2s}} d\mu(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_k|^2 \frac{(1-|a_k|^2)^{n-2s}(1-|\omega|^2)^n}{|1-\langle a_k,\omega\rangle|^{2n-2s}}.$$

Given $\omega \in \mathbb{B}_n$. Using [54, Lemma 2.24] or the subharmonicity of

$$f(z) = (1-\langle z,\, \omega\rangle)^{2s-n},$$

we have

$$|1-\langle a_k,\omega\rangle|^{2s-2n} \lesssim (1-|a_k|^2)^{2s-n} \int_{\mathbb{B}(a_k,r)} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{-1-2s}}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{2n-2s}} d\nu(z),$$

whence getting

$$\frac{(1-|a_k|^2)^{n-2s}}{|1-\langle a_k,\omega\rangle|^{2n-2s}} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}(a_k,r/4)} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{-1-2s}}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{2n-2s}} d\nu(z).$$

Since $\mathbb{B}_n = \cup_k \mathbb{B}(a_k, r/4)$, an application of the last estimate implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-|a_k|^2)^{n-2s}}{|1-\langle a_k,\omega\rangle|^{2n-2s}} \\
&\lesssim \int_{\cup_k \mathbb{B}(a_k,r/4)} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{-1-2s}}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{2n-2s}} d\nu(z) \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{-1-2s}}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{2n-2s}} d\nu(z) \\
&\lesssim (1-|\omega|^2)^{-n}
\end{aligned}$$

where [38, Proposition 1.4.10] has been used. Consequently, if $\{c_k\} \in l^\infty$, then

$$\sup_{\omega\in\mathbb{B}_n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^n (1-|a_k|^2)^{n-2s}}{|1-\langle a_k,\omega\rangle|^{2n-2s}} \lesssim 1,$$

which shows $\mu \in \mathcal{CM}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}$.

On the other hand, if $\mu \in \mathcal{CM}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}$, then an application of Lemma 1.2 yields $\{c_k\} \in l^\infty$ via

$$|c_k|^2 \lesssim \sup_{\omega\in\mathbb{B}_n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^n}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{2n-2s}} d\mu(z) \lesssim \|\mu\|^2_{\mathcal{CM}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}}.$$

□

Lemma 3.4. [54, Proposition 1.14] *If α and t are two real parameters such that neither $n+\alpha$ nor $n+\alpha+t$ is a negative integer, then*

$$\mathsf{R}^{\alpha,t}\left(\frac{1}{(1-\langle z,\omega\rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}}\right) = \frac{1}{(1-\langle z,\omega\rangle)^{n+1+\alpha+t}} \quad \forall \quad \omega \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

With the help of Lemmas 3.3-3.4, we discover the following atomic decomposition.

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose $s \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ and $b > n$.*

(i) *If $\{a_k\} \subset \mathbb{B}_n$ is an r-lattice as described above and $\{c_k\}$ is a sequence in $\mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_k|^2(1-|a_k|^2)^n \delta_{a_k} \in \mathcal{CM}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}},$$

then

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \frac{(1-|a_k|^2)^b}{(1-\langle z,a_k\rangle)^b} \in \mathcal{HC}^s.$$

(ii) *If $f \in \mathcal{HC}^s$, then there are an r-lattice $\{a_k\} \subset \mathbb{B}_n$ (as described above) and a complex sequence $\{c_k\}$ such that*

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \frac{(1-|a_k|^2)^b}{(1-\langle z,a_k\rangle)^b} \quad \textit{with} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_k|^2(1-|a_k|^2)^n \delta_{a_k} \in \mathcal{CM}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}.$$

Proof. (i) If

$$\tilde{b} = b - s \ \ \& \ \ \tilde{c}_k = c_k(1-|a_k|^2)^s,$$

then

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{b} > n; \\ |\tilde{c}_k|^2(1-|a_k|^2)^{n-2s} = |c_k|^2(1-|a_k|^2)^n. \end{cases}$$

Note that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_k|^2(1-|a_k|^2)^{n-2s}\delta_{a_k} \in \mathcal{CM}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}} \Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\tilde{c}_k|^2(1-|a_k|^2)^n \delta_{a_k} \in \mathcal{CM}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}.$$

So, an application of Corollary 3.2 and Lemma 3.3(i) derive

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \frac{(1-|a_k|^2)^b}{(1-\langle z,a_k\rangle)^b} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{c}_k \frac{(1-|a_k|^2)^{\tilde{b}}}{(1-\langle z,a_k\rangle)^{\tilde{b}+s}} = \mathsf{R}^{\alpha,s}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{c}_k \frac{(1-|a_k|^2)^{\tilde{b}}}{(1-\langle z,a_k\rangle)^{\tilde{b}}}\right) \in \mathcal{HC}^s.$$

(ii) Suppose $f \in \mathcal{HC}^s$, then Theorem 3.1 is used to imply $\mathsf{R}^{\alpha,-s} f \in \mathcal{Q}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}$. According to Lemma 3.3(ii), there exist an r-lattice $\{a_k\} \subset \mathbb{B}_n$ and a complex sequence $\{\tilde{c}_k\}$ such that

$$\mathsf{R}^{\alpha,-s} f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{c}_k \frac{(1-|a_k|^2)^{\tilde{b}}}{(1-\langle z,a_k\rangle)^{\tilde{b}}},$$

where

$$\tilde{b} > n - s \ \ \& \ \ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\tilde{c}_k|^2 (1-|a_k|^2)^{n-2s} \delta_{a_k} \in \mathcal{CM}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}.$$

Let

$$b = \tilde{b} + s \ \ \& \ \ c_k = \tilde{c}_k (1-|a_k|^2)^{-s}.$$

Taking $\mathsf{R}^{\alpha,s}$ on both sides of the last representation of $\mathsf{R}^{\alpha,s} f$, and using Lemma 3.4, we get

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \frac{(1-|a_k|^2)^b}{(1-\langle z, a_k\rangle)^b}.$$

□

4. Associated Gleason problem

4.1. **Canonical example and growth.** For $\alpha > 0$, let $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$ denote the α-Bloch space of holomorphic functions f in $\mathbb{B}_n$ with

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha,*} = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}_n} (1-|z|^2)^\alpha |\nabla f(z)| < \infty.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{B}_1$ is just the classical Bloch space $\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathbb{B}_n$. Further, $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$ becomes a Banach space equipped with the norm $|f(0)| + \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha,*}$.

Example 4.1. *For $s \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{n}{2}]$ and $a \in \mathbb{B}_n$, let*

$$f_a(z) = \frac{(1-|a|^2)^{n-s}}{(1-\langle z, a\rangle)^n}.$$

Then this function is regarded as a canonical example of $\mathcal{HC}^s$ in the sense of:

$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n} \|f_a\|_{\mathcal{HC}^s} < \infty.$$

Proof. When $s = \frac{n}{2}$, the assertion is well-known; see [54, Theorem 4.1.7]. Hence, it remains to handle the case $s \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{n}{2})$. It is easy to calculate

$$|\nabla f_a(z)| \approx \frac{(1-|a|^2)^{n-s}\overline{a}}{|1-\langle z, a\rangle|^{n+1}}.$$

Thus, for any $\omega \in \mathbb{B}_n$, Lemma 1.3 is utilized to derive

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^{1+2s}}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{n+1}} |\nabla f_a(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2) d\nu(z) \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|a|^2)^{2n-2s}(1-|\omega|^2)^{1+2s}(1-|z|^2)}{|1-\langle z,a\rangle|^{2n+2}|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{n+1}} \, d\nu(z) \\
&\lesssim \frac{(1-|a|^2)^{2n-2s}(1-|\omega|^2)^{1+2s}}{(1-|a|^2)^n |1-\omega\overline{a}'|^{n+1}} \\
&= \left|\frac{1-|a|^2}{1-\omega\overline{a}'}\right|^{n-2s} \left|\frac{1-|\omega|^2}{1-\omega\overline{a}'}\right|^{1+2s} \lesssim 1
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{cases} d\mu(z) = |\nabla f_a(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)d\nu(z); \\ p = 1 - \frac{2s}{n}; \\ q = \frac{1+2s}{n}. \end{cases}$$

Then an application of Lemma 1.2 implies $\mu \in \mathcal{CM}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}$ via

$$\sup_{\omega\in\mathbb{B}_n}\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}\frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^{nq}}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{n(p+q)}}d\mu(z) = \sup_{\omega\in\mathbb{B}_n}\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}\frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^{1+2s}}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{n+1}}d\mu(z) \lesssim 1.$$

According to Theorem 2.2, we get

$$f_a \in \mathcal{HC}^s \ \ \& \ \ \sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n}\|f_a\|_{\mathcal{HC}^s} < \infty.$$

□

Below is the canonical growth of a function in $\mathcal{HC}^s \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{1+s}$.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose $s \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{n}{2}]$. If $f \in \mathcal{HC}^s$, then*

$$|\nabla f(z)| \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{HC}^s}}{(1-|z|^2)^{1+s}} \quad \forall \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

Moreover, the exponent $1+s$ in the last inequality is sharp.

Proof. The argument for the desired inequality is split into three cases.

Case 1. $s \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$. Under this, the desired inequalty follows from [54, Theorem 7.9].

Case 2. $s \in (0, \frac{n}{2})$. A special case of $p = 2$ in [11, Proposition 4.4] shows

$$|f(z)| \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{HC}^s}}{(1-|z|^2)^{s}} \quad \forall \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

Hence f belongs to the weighted Bergman space

$$\mathcal{L}^1_a(\mathbb{B}_n,\, d\nu_{1+s}(z)) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n) : \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|(1-|z|^2)^s d\nu(z) < \infty \right\}.$$

Applying [54, Theorem2.2], we have

$$f(z) = c_s \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^s f(\omega)}{(1-\langle z,\omega\rangle)^{n+1+s}}\, d\nu(\omega) \ \text{ where } \ c_s = \frac{\Gamma(n+s+1)}{n!\Gamma(s+1)}$$

Therefore, an application of [38, Proposition 1.4.10] and the last estimate derives

$$|\nabla f(z)| \approx \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{\overline{\omega}(1-|\omega|^2)^s f(\omega)}{(1-\langle z,\omega\rangle)^{n+2+s}}\, d\nu(\omega) \right| \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{HC}^s}}{(1-|z|^2)^{1+s}}.$$

Case 3. $s = 0$. This follows from $\mathcal{BMOA} \subset \mathcal{B}$.

Case 4. $s = \frac{n}{2}$. The desired inequality is just the estimate for $\mathcal{H}^2$.

The sharpness of $1+s$ follows from an application of Example 4.1 to

$$f_a(z) = \frac{(1-|a|^2)^{n-s}}{(1-\langle z,a\rangle)^n} \ \ \& \ \ |\nabla f_a(a)| \approx \frac{n|a|}{(1-|a|^2)^{1+s}} \ \ \forall \ \ a \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

□

4.2. **Solution to the associated Gleason problem.** Originally motivated by [16], we state the general Gleason problem below:

Let X be a holomorphic function space on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. Given $a = (a_1, ..., a_n) \in \Omega$ and $f \in X$ with $f(a) = 0$, are there $\mathsf{A}_1, ..., \mathsf{A}_n \in X$ such that

$$f(z) - f(a) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (z_k - a_k)\mathsf{A}_k(z) \ \ \forall \ \ z \in \Omega \ ?$$

Interestingly, this problem is solvable in many holomorphic function spaces; see e.g. [24, 30, 53, 51] and the relevant references therein. Even more interestingly, this problem, upon being associated with $\mathcal{HC}^s$, is still solvable.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $s \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{n}{2}]$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then for each $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n)$ obeying $|a| = m$ there exists a bounded linear operator A_α on $\mathcal{HC}^s$ such that*

$$f(z) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} z^\alpha \mathsf{A}_\alpha f(z) \quad \forall \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_n$$

with

$$\partial^\gamma f(0) = 0 \quad \forall \quad |\gamma| = |(\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_n)| = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \gamma_j \in \{0, 1, ..., m-1\}.$$

Proof. When $s = \frac{n}{2}$, the result follows from [54, p. 155]. Thus, it is enough to handle $s \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{n}{2})$. The argument is divided into two cases below.

Case 1. $m = 1$. The decay of $|\nabla f|$ in Lemma 4.2 derives

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |\nabla f(z)|(1 - |z|^2)^n d\nu(z) \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{HC}^s} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{n-1-s} d\nu(z) < \infty.$$

This means

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k} \in \mathcal{L}^1_a(\mathbb{B}_n, (1 - |z|^2)^n d\nu(z)) \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, ..., n.$$

By [54, Theorem 2.2], we get

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k}(z) = c_n \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \omega_k}(\omega) \frac{(1 - |\omega|^2)^n}{(1 - \langle z, \omega\rangle)^{2n+1}} \, d\nu(\omega) \ \text{ where } \ c_n = \frac{(2n+1)!}{(n!)^2}.$$

For all $1 \le k \le n$, define

$$\mathsf{A}_k f(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k}(tz) \, dt.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\mathsf{A}_k f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n)$$

and A_k is a linear operator. Therefore, we need only to prove that A_k is bounded on $\mathcal{HC}^s$ for all $s \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{n}{2})$. To do so, note that

$$f(z) - f(0) = \int_0^1 \frac{df}{dt}(tz) dt = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_0^1 z_k \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k}(tz) dt = \sum_{k=1}^{n} z_k \mathsf{A}_k f(z).$$

So, we achieve

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \mathsf{A}_k f(z) &= \nabla \int_0^1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k}(tz)\, dt \\ &= c_n(2n+1)\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \Big(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \omega_k}(\omega)\Big)\left(\frac{\bar{\omega}(1-|\omega|^2)^n}{(1-t\langle z,\omega\rangle)^{2n+2}}\right) d\nu(\omega)dt \\ &= c_n(2n+1)\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \Big(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \omega_k}(\omega)\Big)\bar{\omega}(1-|\omega|^2)^n\, d\nu(\omega)\int_0^1 \frac{dt}{(1-t\langle z,\omega\rangle)^{2n+2}} \\ &= c_n \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \Big(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \omega_k}(\omega)\Big)\left(\frac{\bar{\omega}(1-|\omega|^2)^n}{(1-\langle z,\omega\rangle)^{2n+1}}\right)\left(\frac{1-(1-\langle z,\omega\rangle)^{2n+1}}{\langle z,\omega\rangle}\right) d\nu(\omega).\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{1-(1-\langle z,\omega\rangle)^{2n+1}}{\langle z,\omega\rangle}$$

is a polynomial of z and ω, we have

$$\sup_{z,\,\omega\in\mathbb{B}_n}\left|\frac{1-(1-\langle z,\omega\rangle)^{2n+1}}{\langle z,\omega\rangle}\right| \lesssim 1.$$

Hence

$$|\nabla \mathsf{A}_k f(z)| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^n |\nabla f(\omega)|}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{2n+1}} d\nu(\omega).$$

Note that $f \in \mathcal{HC}^s$. So, Theorem 2.2 is used to give that $|\nabla f(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)d\nu(z)$ belongs to $C\mathcal{M}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}$. This, along with Theorem 1.4 (by setting $a = 0$ and $b = n+1$), shows that $|\mathsf{A}_k f(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)d\nu(z)$ belongs to $C\mathcal{M}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}$.

Case 2. $m \geq 2$. We proceed the argument by an induction on m. For $m = 1$, we have proved

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n z_k \mathsf{A}_k f(z) \quad \text{where} \quad \mathsf{A}_k f \in \mathcal{HC}^s.$$

Suppose the assertion is valid for $m-1$. Then

$$f(z) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m-1} z^\alpha \mathsf{A}_\alpha f(z) = \sum_{\alpha_1+\ldots+\alpha_n=m-1} z_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots z_n^{\alpha_n}\mathsf{A}_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n} f(z),$$

where

$$\mathsf{A}_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n} f \in \mathcal{HC}^s.$$

We apply the case $m = 1$ with $\mathsf{A}_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n} f$ replacing f to obtain

$$\mathsf{A}_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n} f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n z_k \mathsf{A}_k \mathsf{A}_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n} f(z).$$

Let $\mathsf{A}_\gamma = \mathsf{A}_k \mathsf{A}_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n}$. Then A_γ is bounded on $\mathcal{HC}^s$. By the inductive hypothesis on $m-1$, we have

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\alpha_1+\ldots+\alpha_n=m-1} z_k z_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots z_n^{\alpha_n}\mathsf{A}_\gamma f(z) = \sum_{|\beta|=m} z^\gamma \mathsf{A}_\gamma f(z).$$

This proves the assertion for m. □

5. Induced Carleson problem

5.1. **Solution to the induced Carleson problem.** Let μ be a nonnegative Borel measure on $\mathbb{B}_n$. Denote $\mathcal{T}^\infty_s(\mu)$ by the non-isotropic tent space of all μ-measurable functions f on $\mathbb{B}_n$ satisfying

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{T}^\infty_s(\mu)} = \sup_{Q_r(\zeta)} \left(r^{2s-n} \int_{Q_r(\zeta)} |f|^2 \, d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all $Q_r(\zeta) = \{z \in \mathbb{B}_n : |1 - \langle z, \zeta\rangle| < r\}$.

Referring to [48] and its related references, we raise the induced Carleson problem for $\mathcal{HC}^s$ as follows:

Suppose that μ is a nonnegative Borel measure on $\mathbb{B}_n$. What geometric nature does μ possess in order that $\mathcal{HC}^s$ embeds continuously into $\mathcal{T}^\infty_s(\mu)$?

Below is a solution of the preceding question.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $s \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{n}{2})$. Suppose that μ is a nonnegative Borel measure on $\mathbb{B}_n$. Then the identity operator $\mathsf{I} : \mathcal{HC}^s \mapsto \mathcal{T}^\infty_s(\mu)$ is continuous if and only if*

$$\begin{cases} \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{CM}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}} = \sup_{Q_r(\zeta)} \left(\frac{\mu(Q_r(\zeta))}{r^{n-2s}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty \ \ \textit{for} \ \ s \in (-1/2, 0); \\ \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{LCM}_1} = \sup_{Q_r(\zeta)} \left(\frac{\mu(Q_r(\zeta))}{r^n (\log \frac{2}{r})^{-2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty \ \ \textit{for} \ \ s = 0; \\ \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{CM}_1} = \sup_{Q_r(\zeta)} \left(\frac{\mu(Q_r(\zeta))}{r^n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty \ \ \textit{for} \ \ s \in (0, n/2), \end{cases}$$

where "$\sup_{Q_r(\zeta)}$" means the supremum ranging over all $Q_r(\zeta) = \{z \in \mathbb{B}_n : |1 - \langle z, \zeta\rangle| < r\}$.

Proof. Three cases are considered below.

Case 1. $s \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$. Under this situation, one has $\mathcal{HC}^s = \mathcal{B}_{1+s}$. If I is bounded, then taking $f(z) = 1$ we get $\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{CM}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}} < \infty$. Conversely, if $\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{CM}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}} < \infty$, then

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{T}^\infty_s(\mu)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{HC}^s} \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{CM}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}}.$$

Case 2. $s = 0$. Under this case, one has $\mathcal{HC}^s = \mathcal{BMOA}$. The desired assertion has been verified in [34].

Case 3. $s \in (0, \frac{n}{2})$. If I is continuous, then for any $f \in \mathcal{HC}^s$ one has $f \in \mathcal{T}^\infty_s(\mu)$. Now, for any $\omega \in \mathbb{B}_n$ let

$$f_\omega(z) = \frac{(1 - |\omega|^2)^{n-s}}{(1 - \langle z, \omega\rangle)^n}.$$

Example 4.1 is used to imply that $f_\omega \in \mathcal{HC}^s$. Given a Carleson tube $Q_r(\zeta)$, let $\omega = (1 - r)\zeta$. Then

$$r^{-n} \mu(Q_r(\zeta)) \lesssim r^{2s-n} \int_{Q_r(\zeta)} |f_\omega(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \lesssim \|f_\omega\|^2_{\mathcal{HC}^s} \lesssim 1.$$

Accordingly, $\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{CM}_1} < \infty$.

On the other hand, if $\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{CM}_1} < \infty$. Then we need to prove that I is continuous. Let $f \in \mathcal{HC}^s$. For $\zeta \in \mathbb{S}_n$ and $0 < r < 1$, write

$$a = (1 - r)\zeta \ \ \& \ \ Q_r(\zeta) = \{z \in \mathbb{B}_n : |1 - \langle z, \zeta\rangle| < r\}.$$

By the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$r^{2s-n} \int_{Q_r(\zeta)} |f(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \lesssim r^{2s-n} \int_{Q_r(\zeta)} |f(a)|^2 d\mu(z) + r^{2s-n} \int_{Q_r(\zeta)} |f(z) - f(a)|^2 d\mu(z) \equiv J_1 + J_2.$$

For J_1, the decay of f found in Lemma 4.2 gives

$$|f(a)| \lesssim (1-|a|^2)^{-s}\|f\|_{\mathcal{HC}^s} \approx r^{-s}\|f\|_{\mathcal{HC}^s}.$$

Thus

$$J_1 \lesssim r^{-n}\|f\|^2_{\mathcal{HC}^s}\int_{Q_r(\zeta)} d\mu(z) \lesssim \|\mu\|^2_{\mathcal{CM}_1}\|f\|^2_{\mathcal{HC}^s}.$$

For J_2, both $\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{CM}_1} < \infty$ and [17] are utilized to derive

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |g(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \lesssim \|\mu\|^2_{\mathcal{CM}_1}\int_{\mathbb{S}_n} |g(\zeta)|^2 d\sigma(\zeta) \ \ \forall \ \ g \in \mathcal{H}^2.$$

This last estimate, along with

$$|1-\langle a, z\rangle| = |(1-r)(1-\langle \zeta, z\rangle) + r| < 2r \ \ \forall \ \ a = (1-r)\zeta \ \& \ z \in Q_r(\zeta),$$

deduces

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 &\lesssim (1-|a|^2)^{2s}\int_{Q_r(\zeta)} |f(z)-f(a)|^2\frac{(1-|a|^2)^n}{|1-\langle z,a\rangle|^{2n}}\,d\mu(z)\\
&\lesssim (1-|a|^2)^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)-f(a)|^2\frac{(1-|a|^2)^n}{|1-\langle z,a\rangle|^{2n}}\,d\mu(z)\\
&\lesssim \|\mu\|^2_{\mathcal{CM}_1}(1-|a|^2)^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{S}_n} |f(\zeta)-f(a)|^2\frac{(1-|a|^2)^n}{|1-\langle \zeta,a\rangle|^{2n}}d\sigma(\zeta)\\
&= \|\mu\|^2_{\mathcal{CM}_1}(1-|a|^2)^{2s}\int_{\mathbb{S}_n} |f\circ\varphi_a(\zeta)-f(a)|^2 d\sigma(\zeta)\\
&= \|\mu\|^2_{\mathcal{CM}_1}(1-|a|^2)^{2s}\|f\circ\varphi_a - f(a)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^2}\\
&\lesssim \|\mu\|^2_{\mathcal{CM}_1}\|f\|^2_{\mathcal{HC}^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

From the estimates for J_1 and J_2, we know

$$r^{2s-n}\int_{Q_r(\zeta)} |f(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \lesssim \|\mu\|^2_{\mathcal{CM}_1}\|f\|^2_{\mathcal{HC}^s} < \infty,$$

thereby finding

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{T}^\infty_s(\mu)} \lesssim \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{CM}_1}\|f\|_{\mathcal{HC}^s},$$

i.e., the identity operator $\mathsf{I} : \mathcal{HC}^s \mapsto \mathcal{T}^\infty_s(\mu)$ is continuous. □

5.2. **Riemann-Stieltjes operator.** Denote by T_g and S_g the so-called Riemann-Stieltjes operator and the associate Riemann-Stieltjes operator with a given symbol $g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n)$, respectively:

$$\mathsf{T}_g f(z) = \int_0^1 f(tz)\mathsf{R}g(tz)\frac{dt}{t} \ \ \& \ \ \mathsf{S}_g f(z) = \int_0^1 g(tz)\mathsf{R}f(tz)\frac{dt}{t} \quad \forall \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n) \ \ \& \ \ z \in \mathbb{B}_n,$$

where

$$\mathsf{R}f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n z_k\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k}(z)$$

is still the radial derivative of f. It is easy to examine the following formulas:

$$\begin{cases}
\mathsf{T}_g(f) = \mathsf{S}_f(g);\\
\mathsf{M}_g f(z) = f(z)g(z) = f(0)g(0) + \mathsf{T}_g f(z) + \mathsf{S}_g f(z);\\
\mathsf{R}\circ\mathsf{T}_g f(z) = f(z)\mathsf{R}g(z).
\end{cases}$$

The Riemann-Stieltjes operators on different holomorphic function spaces have been investigated in many papers including [2, 3, 1, 15, 18, 20, 34, 35, 39, 40, 45, 47, 48]. Now, as an interesting by-product of Theorem 5.1, we can discover the continuity of a Riemann-Stieltjes operator acting on $\mathcal{HC}^s$.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $s \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{n}{2})$, $g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ and $d\mu_g(z) = (1-|z|^2)|\mathsf{R}f(z)|^2 d\nu(z)$. Then:*

(i) T_g *is continuous on $\mathcal{HC}^s$ if and only if*

$$\begin{cases} \|\mu_g\|_{\mathcal{CM}_{1-\frac{2s}{n}}} < \infty \quad as \quad s \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0); \\ \|\mu_g\|_{\mathcal{LCM}_1} < \infty \quad as \quad s = 0; \\ \|\mu_g\|_{\mathcal{CM}_1} < \infty \quad as \quad s \in (0, \frac{n}{2}). \end{cases}$$

(ii) S_g *is continuous on $\mathcal{HC}^s$ if and only if $\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty} = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)| < \infty$.*

Proof. (i) The equivalence under $s \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0]$ follows from the structure table of $\mathcal{HC}^s$ and [31, 45]. And, the equivalence under $s \in (0, \frac{n}{2})$ follows from using both $\mathsf{R} \circ \mathsf{T}_g f = f\mathsf{R}g$ and Theorem 5.1.

(ii) If $\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty} < \infty$, then for any Carleson tube $Q_r(\zeta) \subseteq \mathbb{S}_n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & r^{2s-n} \int_{Q_r(\zeta)} |\mathsf{R} \circ \mathsf{S}_g f(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2) d\nu(z) \\ &= r^{2s-n} \int_{Q_r(\zeta)} |\mathsf{R} \circ \mathsf{T}_f g(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2) d\nu(z) \\ &= r^{2s-n} \int_{Q_r(\zeta)} |g(z)|^2 |\mathsf{R}f(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2) d\nu(z) \\ &\lesssim \|g\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^\infty} \|f\|^2_{\mathcal{HC}^s}, \end{aligned}$$

whence reaching

$$\|\mathsf{S}_g f\|_{\mathcal{HC}^s} \lesssim \|g\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty} \|f\|_{\mathcal{HC}^s},$$

which in turn implies that S_g is continuous on $\mathcal{HC}^s$.

Conversely, suppose S_g is continuous on $\mathcal{HC}^s$. Then its operator norm $\|\mathsf{S}_g\|$ is finite. Given any ω with $\frac{2}{3} < |\omega| < 1$. Then, for any $\zeta \in \mathbb{S}_n$ there exists $0 < r < 1$ such that the corresponding Carleson tube $Q_r(\zeta)$ enjoys

$$E(\omega, 1/2) = \Big\{ z \in \mathbb{B}_n : \ |\varphi_\omega(z)| < 1/2 \Big\} \subset Q_r(\zeta) \ \ \& \ \ 1 - |\omega|^2 \approx r.$$

When $z \in E(\omega, 1/2)$, some calculations show

$$\begin{cases} \nu(E(\omega, 1/2)) \approx (1-|\omega|^2)^{n+1}; \\ |\langle z, \omega\rangle| \gtrsim 1; \\ 1 - |\omega|^2 \approx 1 - |z|^2 \approx |1 - \langle z, \omega\rangle| \approx r. \end{cases}$$

Choosing the test function

$$f_\omega(z) = \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^{n-s}}{(1-\langle z, \omega\rangle)^n},$$

and using Example 4.1, we gain

$$\sup_{\omega\in\mathbb{B}_n} \|f_\omega\|_{\mathcal{HC}^s} < \infty.$$

Since $|g|^2$ is subharmonic in $\mathbb{B}_n$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
|g(\omega)|^2 &\lesssim (1-|\omega|^2)^{-n-1}\int\limits_{E(\omega,1/2)}|g(z)|^2 d\nu(z)\\
&\lesssim r^{2s-n}\int\limits_{E(\omega,1/2)}\frac{|g(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^{2n-2s+1}}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{2(n+1)}}d\nu(z)\\
&\lesssim r^{2s-n}\int\limits_{E(\omega,1/2)}\frac{|g(z)|^2|\langle z,\omega\rangle|^2(1-|z|^2)^{2n-2s+1}}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{2(n+1)}}d\nu(z)\\
&\lesssim r^{2s-n}\int\limits_{Q_r(\zeta)}|g(z)|^2|\mathsf{R}f_\omega(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)d\nu(z)\\
&\lesssim \|\mathsf{S}_g(f_\omega)\|^2_{\mathcal{HC}^s}\lesssim\|\mathsf{S}_g\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This, together with the maximum modulus principle, ensures $\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty}<\infty$. □

In light of Theorem 5.2, the pointwise multipliers of $\mathcal{HC}^s$ can be readily determined as a unification of the well-known results in [11, 31, 52]

Corollary 5.3. *Let $s\in(-\frac{1}{2},\frac{n}{2})$, $g\in\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ and $d\mu(z)_g=(1-|z|^2)|\mathsf{R}f(z)|^2d\nu(z)$. Then M_g is continuous on $\mathcal{HC}^s$ if and only if*

$$\begin{cases} g\in\mathcal{HC}^s \quad as \quad s\in(-\frac{1}{2},0);\\ \|g\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty}+\|\mu_g\|_{\mathcal{LCM}_1}<\infty \quad as \quad s=0;\\ \|g\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty}<\infty \quad as \quad s\in(0,\frac{n}{2}).\end{cases}$$

References

[1] P. Ahern and R. Schneider, Holomorphic Lipschitz functions in pseudoconvex domains. *Amer. J. Math.* 101(1979) 543-565.

[2] A. Aleman and J. Cima, An integral opertaor on $\mathcal{H}^p$ and Hardy's inequality. *J. Anal. Math.* 85(2001) 157-176.

[3] A. Aleman and A. Siskakis, Integral operators on Bergman spaces. *Indiana. Univ. Math. J.* 46(1997) 337-356.

[4] E. Amar, On the corona theorem. *J. Geom. Anal.* 1(1991) 291-305.

[5] M. Andersson, The $\mathcal{H}^2$-corona theorem and $\bar\partial_b$. *Preprint*, Göteborg, 1990.

[6] M. Andersson and H. Carlsson, Estimates of solutions of the $\mathcal{H}^p$ and $\mathcal{BMOA}$ corona problem. *Math. Ann.* 316(2006) 83-102.

[7] R. Aulaskari, J. Xiao and R. H. Zhao, On subspaces and subsets of $\mathcal{BMOA}$ and $\mathcal{UBC}$. *Analysis* (15)1995 101-121.

[8] F. Beatrous and J. Burbea, Sobolev spaces of holomorphic functions in the ball. *Pitman Research Notes* 227, Pitman, London.

[9] C. Campanato, Proprietá di alcune classi di funzioni. *Ann. Scuola. Norm. Sup. Pisa* 18(1963) 175-188.

[10] C. Camanato, Proprietá di una famigilia dispazi funzionali. *Ann. Scuola. Norm. Sup. Pisa* 18(1964) 137-160.

[11] C. Cascante, J. Fábrega and J. M. Ortega, The corona theorem in weighted Hardy and Morrey spaces. *Ann. Scuola. Norm. Sup. Pisa.* Doi 10.2422/2036-2145.201202-006.

[12] R. R. Coifman, R. Rochberg and G. Weiss, Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables. *Ann. Math.* 103(1976) 611-635.

[13] M. Essén and J. Xiao, Some results on Q_p spaces. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 485(1997) 173-195.

[14] C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein, $\mathcal{H}^p$ spaces of several variables. *Acta. Math.* 129(1972) 137-193.

[15] D. Girela and P. José Ángel, Carleson measures, multipliers and integration operators for spaces of Dirichlet type. *J. Funct. Anal.* 241(2006) 334-358.

[16] A. M. Gleason, Finitely generated ideals in Banach algebras. *J. Math. Mech.* 13(1964) 125-132.

[17] L. Hörmander, $\mathcal{L}^p$ estimates for (pluri-)subharmonic functions. *Math. Scand.* 20(1967) 65-78
[18] Z. J. Hu, Extended Cesáro operators on mixed norm spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 131(2003) 2171-2179.
[19] Z. J. Hu, Q_p spaces in the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$ with $\frac{n-1}{n} < p \leq 1$. *J. Baoji Univ. Arts Sci. Math. Colloq. Chin. Univ.* 3(2003) 1-10.
[20] Z. J. Hu, Extended Cesáro operators on the Bloch space in the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$. *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed.* 23(2003) 561-566.
[21] M. Jevtić, On the Carleson measure characterization of $\mathcal{BMOA}$ functions on the unit ball. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 114(1992) 379-386.
[22] M. Jevtić, On the Carleson measure characterization of $\mathcal{BMO}$ functions on the unit sphere. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 123(1995) 3371-3377.
[23] F. John and L. Nirenberg, On functions of bounded mean oscillation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 14(1961) 415-426.
[24] N. Kerzman and A. Nagal, Finitely generated ideas in certain function algebra. *J. Funct. Anal.* 7(1971) 212-215.
[25] S. G. Krantz, Geometric Lipschitz spaces and applications to complex function theory and nilpotent groups. *J. Funct. Anal.* 34(1979) 456-471.
[26] S. G. Krantz and S. Y. Li, Some remarks on the corona problem on strongly pseudoconvex domains. *Illinois J. Math.* 39(1995) 323-349.
[27] A. Kufner, O. John and S. Fčík, *Function Spaces.* Noordhoff International Publishing, Leyden, 1977.
[28] B. Li and C. H. Ouyang, Higher radial derivative of functions of Q_p spaces and its applications. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 327(2007) 1257-1272.
[29] P. Li, J. Liu and Z. Lou, Integral operators on analytic Morrey spaces. *Sci China Math.* 57(2014)Doi10.1007/s11425-000-0000-0.
[30] J. M. Ortega, The Gleason's problem in Bergman-Sobolev spaces. *Complex variables,* 20(1992) 157-170.
[31] J. M. Ortega and J. Fábrega, Pointwise multipliers and corona type decomposition in $\mathcal{BMOA}$. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble).* 46(1996) 111-137.
[32] C. H. Ouyang, W. S. Yang and R. H. Zhao, Möbius invariant Q_p spaces associated with the Greens function on the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$. *Pacific J. Math.* 182(1998) 69-99.
[33] R. Peng and C. H. Ouyang, Decomposition theorems for Q_p spaces with small scale p on the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$. *Acta. Math. Sci. Ser. B.* 30(2010) 1419-1428.
[34] R. Peng and C. H. Ouyang, Riemann-Stieltjes operators and multipliers on Q_p spaces in the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 377(2011) 180-193.
[35] Ch. Pommerenke, Schlichte Funktionen und analytische Funktionen von beschränkter mittlerer Oszillation. *Comment. Math. Helv.* 52(1977) 591-602.
[36] R. Rochberg, Decomposition theorems for Bergman spaces and their applications. Power S C, eds. Operators and Function Theory, Dordrecht: Reidel, 1985: 225-278.
[37] R. Rochberg and S. Semmes, A decomposition theorem for $\mathcal{BMO}$ and applications. *J. Funct. Anal.* 67(1986) 228-263.
[38] W. Rudin, *Function Theory in the Unit Ball of* $\mathbb{C}^n$. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
[39] A. Siskakis, Composition semigroups and the Cesáro operator on $\mathcal{H}^p$. *J. London Math. Soc.* 36(1987) 153-164.
[40] A. Siskakis and R. Zhao, A Volterra type operator on spaces of analytic functions. *Contemp. Math.* 232(1999) 299311.
[41] J. F. Wang and J. Xiao, Two predualities and three operators over analytic Campanato spaces. *arXiv:1402.4377v1[math.CV]* 18Feb2014.
[42] Z. Wu and C. Xie, Decomposition theorems for Qp spaces. *Ark. Mat.* 40(2002) 383-401.
[43] Z. Wu and C. Xie, Q spaces and Morrey spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.* 201(2003) 282-297.
[44] J. Xiao, *Holomorphic Q Classes*. Lecture Notes in Math. 1767, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
[45] J. Xiao, Riemann-Stieltjes operators on weighted Bloch and Bergman spaces of the unit ball. *J. London Math. Soc.* 70(2004) 199-214.
[46] J. Xiao, *Geometric Q_p Functions*. Birkhauser-Verlag, 2006.
[47] J. Xiao, *Riemann-Stieltjes operators between weighted Bergman spaces.* Complex and harmonic analysis, 205-212, DEStech Publ., Inc., Lancaster, PA, 2007.
[48] J. Xiao, The Q_p Carleson measure problem. *Adv. Math.* 217(2008) 2075-2088.

[49] J. Xiao and W. Xu, Composition operators between analytic Campanato space. *J. Geom. Anal.* 24(2014) 649-666.
[50] J. Xiao and C. Yuan, Analytic Campanato spaces and their compositions. *arXiv:1303.5032v2[math.CV]* 7May2013.
[51] X. Zhang, Y. Liu and J. B. Xiao, Solvability of Gleason's problem on the space $F(p, q, s)$ with several complex variables. *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A*. 31(2010) 221-228.
[52] K. Zhu, Bloch type spaces of analytic functions. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 23(1993) 1143-1177.
[53] K. Zhu, The Bergman spaces, the Bloch space, and Gleason's problem. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc*. 309(1988) 253-268.
[54] K. Zhu, *Spaces of Holomorphic Functions in the Unit Ball*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2007.

Department of Mathematics and Physics, Information Engineering, Zhejiang Normal University, Zhejiang 321004, P. R. China

Current address: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Memorial University, St. John's, NL A1C 5S7, Canada

E-mail address: wjfustc@zjnu.cn

Department of Mathematics & Statistics, Memorial University, NL A1C 5S7, Canada

E-mail address: jxiao@mun.ca